## Tamkang University Teacher's Promotion Review Comments Form (Academic Research Model\_ Humanities and Social Sciences)

Submission Uni	t: College-Le	evel Faculty	Evaluation Co	mmittee Sub	omission date : y	ear / month / day		
Promotion Application Teacher Number		Teaching Unit	C Department (Ins	college (Office) stitute/Division/ Center)	Promotion Prun-unie	Professor Associate Professor Assistant Professor		
Title of Representative Work					,			
Representative Work					Reference Works	Review Assessment Benchmarks		
Rating Item & Criteria	Research Topic	Text and Structure	Research Methodology & References	Academic /Practical Contributions	Works between the Current Title and the Proposed Title.	1. Professors should have unique and continuous		
Professor	10%	5%	20%	25%	40%	publications and make important and		
Associate Professor	10%	10%	25%	20%	35%	concrete contributions in their		
Assistant Professor	10%	15%	25%	20%	30%	academic field. 2. Associate professors		
Score						should have continuous		
Total Score		(	Assis (score) The Asso The	stant Professor passing sco sciate Professo	ore for promotion to is 70 points or above.  ore for promotion to r is 75 points or above.  ore for promotion to not or above.	contributions in their academic field. 3. Assistant professors should have publications		
General Evaluation of Research Achievements						equivalent to a doctoral dissertation		
(Please check the appropriate items, multiple choices are allowed)  Strengths  Weaknesses  and independent research ability.					and independent research ability.			
<ul> <li>□ Enriched of with innovating insights</li> <li>□ The conclust academic of the conclustion in the conclusion in the conclus</li></ul>	ontents ative sions have value. sions cal value. ials and ganization	<ul> <li>Not hi</li> <li>Not of</li> <li>Weak and th</li> <li>Does in thesis</li> <li>Insuff</li> <li>Incom</li> <li>No incomability</li> </ul>	ecial insights ighly academi fuch practic research metheoretical four not meet spec writing formaticient analysis aplete content dependent research	c cal value nodology ndation ified at	Non-individual originality. Organized, added, deleted, combined or arranged from others works  The representative work is all or part of a dissertation, which has been submitted for review without a certain degree of innovation  Involves plagiarism or other violations of academic ethics (please indicate specific facts in the review comments box).  Others:	4. If one of the three items, "non-individual originality", "the representative work is a dissertation" or "involves plagiarism," is checked, then according to Articles 21, 22, and 44 in Regulations Governing Accreditation of Teacher Qualifications at Junior Colleges and Institutions of Higher Education, the application for promotion should be evaluated with a failing score.		

Review Comments		
(Please provide specific opinions and try to present them in typing form as much as possible.)		
Reviewer	(Signature)	year / month / day

## Note: Note:

- 1. Compilations that are created by organizing, adding or deleting, combining, or arranging other peoples works, or other non-research works, cannot be submitted for review.
- 2. The representative work submitted for review cannot be a thesis or a part of the thesis for a degree. However, if the work has not been submitted for review as any teacher qualification or as a continuation of research for a degree thesis, and it has been published and explained, and recognized by professional review as having a significant degree of innovation, then it is not subject to this limitation.
- 3. The candidate may select up to 5 pieces of work and choose one of them as the representative research result. For those who have related research in a series, they can merge their works as the representative research result, and the rest may be listed as reference research results. (The categories include specialized academic research works, technical reports on research and development, specialized works or technical reports on teaching practice research, creative or exhibition reports on literary creation exhibitions, and practical reports on sports competitions.) The total number of works submitted cannot exceed 5.
- 4. Please maintain the review results and review comments consistent, and conduct specific reviews and write review opinions separately for the representative work and reference works. If the review results meet the passing score, please select the strengths and state positive opinions. If the review results do not meet the passing score, please select the weaknesses and state negative opinions.
- 5. The review comments should not be based on the grade, ranking, impact factor, etc., of the journal in which the publications appear. The review comments can be presented in a list format, and it is suggested that the content be presented in typed form with no less than 200 words. If the approved result of the review does not meet the passing score, the review comments may be provided to the candidate as the basis for proceeding with administrative sanctions and other steps.