Effective from 2023.02.01

Tamkang University Teacher’s Promotion Review Comments Form

**(Academic Research Model\_ Humanities and Social Sciences)**

Submission Unit: College-Level Faculty Evaluation Committee Submission date ： year / month / day

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Promotion  Application  Teacher Number |  | Teaching Unit | College (Office)  Department (Institute/Division/Center) | | | | | Proposed Promotion Title | □Full-time  □Part-time | □Professor  □Associate Professor  □Assistant Professor |
| Title of Representative Work |  | | | | | | | | | |
| Representative Work | | | | | | | | Reference Works | | Review Assessment Benchmarks |
| Rating Item & Criteria | Research Topic | Text and Structure | | Research Methodology & References | | Academic /Practical Contributions | | Works between the Current Title and the Proposed Title. | | **1. Professors should have unique and continuous publications and make important and concrete contributions in their academic field.**  **2. Associate professors should have continuous publications and make concrete contributions in their academic field.**  **3. Assistant professors should have publications equivalent to a doctoral dissertation and independent research ability.**  **4. If one of the three items, "non-individual originality ...", "the representative work is a dissertation ..." or "involves plagiarism …," is checked, then according to Articles 21, 22, and 44 in** [***Regulations Governing Accreditation of Teacher Qualifications at Junior Colleges and Institutions of Higher Education***](https://law.moj.gov.tw/ENG/LawClass/LawAll.aspx?pcode=H0030024)**, the application for promotion should be evaluated with a failing score.** |
| Professor | 10% | 5% | | 20% | | 25% | | 40% | |
| Associate Professor | 10% | 10% | | 25% | | 20% | | 35% | |
| Assistant Professor | 10% | 15% | | 25% | | 20% | | 30% | |
| Score |  |  | |  | |  | |  | |
| Total Score | (score) | | | | The passing score for promotion to Assistant Professor is 70 points or above.  The passing score for promotion to Associate Professor is 75 points or above.  The passing score for promotion to Professor is 80 points or above. | | | | |
| General Evaluation of Research Achievements  (Please check the appropriate items, multiple choices are allowed) | | | | | | | | | |
| Strengths | | Weaknesses | | | | | | | |
| □ Enriched contents with innovative insights  □ The conclusions have academic value.  □ The conclusions havepractical value.  □ Rich materials and rigorous organization  □ Good research capability  □ Excellent research results  □ Others: | | □ No special insights  □ Not highly academic  □ Not of much practical value  □ Weak research methodology and theoretical foundation  □ Does not meet specified thesis writing format  □ Insufficient analysis  □ Incomplete content  □ No independent research ability  □ Poor research results | | | | | □ Non-individual originality. Organized, added, deleted, combined or arranged from others' works  □ The representative work is all or part of a dissertation, which has been submitted for review without a certain degree of innovation  □ Involves plagiarism or other violations of academic ethics (please indicate specific facts in the review comments box).  □ Others: | | |
| **Review Comments**  (Please provide specific opinions and try to present them in typing form as much as possible.) |  | | | | | | | | | |
| Reviewer | **(Signature)** year / month / day | | | | | | | | | |

※Note：

1. Compilations that are created by organizing, adding or deleting, combining, or arranging other people's works, or other non-research works, cannot be submitted for review.
2. The representative work submitted for review cannot be a thesis or a part of the thesis for a degree. However, if the work has not been submitted for review as any teacher qualification or as a continuation of research for a degree thesis, and it has been published and explained, and recognized by professional review as having a significant degree of innovation, then it is not subject to this limitation.
3. The candidate may select up to 5 pieces of work and choose one of them as the representative research result. For those who have related research in a series, they can merge their works as the representative research result, and the rest may be listed as reference research results. (The categories include specialized academic research works, technical reports on research and development, specialized works or technical reports on teaching practice research, creative or exhibition reports on literary creation exhibitions, and practical reports on sports competitions.) The total number of works submitted cannot exceed 5.
4. **Please maintain the review results and review comments consistent, and conduct specific reviews and write review opinions separately for the representative work and reference works. If the review results meet the passing score, please select the strengths and state positive opinions. If the review results do not meet the passing score, please select the weaknesses and state negative opinions.**
5. **The review comments should not be based on the grade, ranking, impact factor, etc., of the journal in which the publications appear. The review comments can be presented in a list format, and it is suggested that the content be presented in typed form with no less than 200 words. If the approved result of the review does not meet the passing score, the review comments may be provided to the candidate as the basis for proceeding with administrative sanctions and other steps.**